

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007			
No.	Required/Permitted	Comment	Comply
45	Development Likely to Affect an Electricity Transmission or Distribution Network		
	The subject development occurs within proximity to underground electricity power lines and seeks to remove an existing padmount substation and replace it with an indoor substation. As such, the Consent Authority is required to give written notice to an electricity supply authority. The development application was referred to Endeavour Energy, who advised that the proposed development is supportable, subject to conditions		Yes
85	Development Adjacent to Railway Corridors		
	The application is not subject to Clause 85 of the ISEPP, as the development is not on land that is adjacent to a rail corridor.		N/A
86	Excavation in, Above, Below or Adjacent to Rail Corridors		
	The application is not subject to Clause 86 of the ISEPP, as the development does not involve excavation to a depth of at least 2m below ground level (existing), on land within, below, or above a rail corridor, or within 25m (measured horizontally) of a rail corridor.		N/A
87	Impact of Rail Noise or Vibration on Non-Rail Development		
	The application is not subject to Clause 87 of the ISEPP, as the development is not on land that is adjacent to a rail corridor.		N/A
101	Frontage to Classified Road		
	The application is not subject to Clause 101 of the ISEPP, as the site does not have a frontage to a classified road.		N/A
102	Impact of Road Noise or Vibration on Non-Road Development		
	The application is not subject to Clause 102 of the ISEPP, as the annual average daily traffic volume of Pitt Street and McFarlane Street is less than 40,000 vehicles.		N/A
104	Traffic Generation Development		
	The application is not subject to Clause 104 of the ISEPP, as the development is not defined as Traffic Generating Development.		N/A